UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,126	02/26/2004	Ryuichi Utsugi	SUZ0006-D1-US	1867	
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW			EXAMINER		
			PATEL, NIHIR B		
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,126	UTSUGI, RYUICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NIHIR PATEL	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01.16	2008					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
· <u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
·— ·— ·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<u> </u>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Claim 12, drawn to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration, classified in class 607, subclass 89.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration, classified in class 607, subclass 88.
- III. Claim 14, drawn to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration, classified in class 606, subclass 9.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration, classified in class 606, subclass 9.
- V. Claim 16, drawn to a decorative aid, classified in class 606, subclass 9.
- VI. Claim 17, drawn to a projector, classified in class 427, subclass 2.1.
- VII. Claim 18, drawn to a pack, classified in class 606, subclass 9.
- VIII. Claim 19, drawn to a pack, classified in class 606, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention II relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam.

Art Unit: 3772

3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent.
- 5. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin.
- 6. Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention VI uses a projector as the light source not a laser beam.
- 7. Inventions I and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

Page 4

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.

- 8. Inventions I and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention I relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a laser beam whereas invention VIII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.
- 9. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent.
- 10. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent.
- 11. Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method

Application/Control Number: 10/786,126

Art Unit: 3772

for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention

Page 5

V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin.

12. Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method

for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention

VI uses a projector as the light source.

13. Inventions II and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method

for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention

VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.

14. Inventions II and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention II relates to a method

for achieving cosmetic decoration using a light source other than a laser beam whereas invention

VIII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.

15. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they

are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III relates to a method

for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent whereas

invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent.

Art Unit: 3772

16. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent whereas invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin.

- 17. Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent whereas invention VI uses a projector as the light source.
- 18. Inventions III and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.
- 19. Inventions III and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention III relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a pigment deposition promoting agent whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.

Art Unit: 3772

20. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent whereas invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin.

- 21. Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent whereas invention VI uses a projector as the light source.
- 22. Inventions IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent, whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.
- 23. Inventions IV and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention IV relates to a method for achieving cosmetic decoration using a whitening agent VIII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.
- 24. Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation,

Application/Control Number: 10/786,126

Page 8

Art Unit: 3772

and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin whereas invention VI uses a projector as the light source.

- 25. Inventions V and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.
- 26. Inventions V and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention V does not use any light source but a decorative aid to cover up prescribed area of skin whereas invention VIII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.
- 27. Inventions VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention VI uses a projector as the light source whereas invention VII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a darker color.
- 28. Inventions VI and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention VI uses a

Art Unit: 3772

projector as the light source whereas invention VIII does not use a light source of any kind but rather a pack to achieve a brighter color.

29. Inventions VII and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, invention VII uses a pack to achieve a darker color whereas invention VIII uses a pack to achieve a brighter color.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3772

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 11

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nihir Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772 Application/Control Number: 10/786,126

Page 13

Art Unit: 3772